

Auditors' Reports as Required by Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 and Government Auditing Standards and Related Information

Year ended June 30, 2010

Auditors' Reports as Required by Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 and Government Auditing Standards and Related Information

Year ended June 30, 2010

Table of Contents

	Exhibit
Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	I
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	II
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	III
Financial Statements and Supplementary Information – Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	IV



KPMG LLP One Financial Plaza 755 Main Street Hartford, CT 06103

Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133

The Board of Governors University of New Haven:

Compliance

We have audited University of New Haven's (the University) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* (Compliance Supplement) that could have a direct and material effect on each of the University's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2010, except the requirements discussed in the second paragraph of this report. The University's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the University's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the University's compliance based on our audit.

We did not audit the University's compliance with the requirements governing maintaining contact with borrowers, and billing and collection procedures in accordance with the requirements of the Student Financial Assistance Cluster: Federal Perkins Loan program as described in the Compliance Supplement. Those requirements govern functions performed by Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. (ACS). Since we did not apply auditing procedures to satisfy ourselves as to compliance with those requirements, the scope of our work was not sufficient to enable us to express, and we do not express, an opinion on compliance with those requirements. ACS's compliance with the requirements governing the functions that it performs for the University for the year ended June 30, 2010 was examined by other accountants in accordance with the U.S. Department of Education's Audit Guide, Audits of Federal Student Financial Assistance Programs at Participating Institutions and Institution Servicers. Our report does not include the results of the other accountants' examination of ACS's compliance with such requirements.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the University's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the University's compliance with those requirements.



In our opinion, the University complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to in the first paragraph above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2010.

Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the University is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the University's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program to determine the auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the University's internal control over compliance.

Requirements governing maintaining contact with borrowers, and billing and collection procedures in the Student Financial Assistance Cluster: Federal Perkins Loan program as described in the Compliance Supplement are performed by ACS. Internal control over compliance relating to such functions for the year ended June 30, 2010 was reported on by other accountants in accordance with the U.S. Department of Education's Audit Guide, *Audits of Federal Student Financial Assistance Programs at Participating Institutions and Institution Servicers*. Our report does not include the results of the other accountants' testing of ACS's internal control over compliance related to such functions.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the University's Board of Governors, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



March 30, 2011



KPMG LLP One Financial Plaza 755 Main Street Hartford, CT 06103

Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

The Board of Governors University of New Haven:

We have audited the financial statements of University of New Haven (the University) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated October 28, 2010. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the University's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the University's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the University's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the University's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the University's Board of Governors, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



October 28, 2010

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Year ended June 30, 2010

(1)	Summary of Auditors' Results		
	Financial Statements		
	Type of auditors' report issued:	Unqualified	
	Internal control over financial reporting:		
	• Material weakness(es) identified?	yes <u>x</u>	_ no
	 Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)? 	yes <u>x</u>	_ none reported
	Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted?	yes <u>x</u>	_ no
	Federal Awards		
	Internal control over major programs:		
	• Material weakness(es) identified?	yes <u>x</u>	_ no
	 Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses? 	yes <u>x</u>	_ none reported
	Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs:	Unqualified	
	Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133?	yes <u>x</u>	_ no
	Identification of Major Programs		
	Name of Federal Program or Clu	uster	CFDA Number
	Student Financial Assistance Cluster Research and Development Cluster		(Various) (Various)
	Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$300,000	
	Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	x yes	_ no
(2)	Findings Relating to the Financial Statements Reports Standards	rted in Accordance with (Government Auditing
	No matters reported.		

III-1 (Continued)

Exhibit III

UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAVEN

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year ended June 30, 2010

(3) Findings and Questioned Costs Relating to Federal Awards

No matters reported.

Financial Statements and Supplementary Information – Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Year ended June 30, 2010



KPMG LLP One Financial Plaza 755 Main Street Hartford, CT 06103

Independent Auditors' Report

The Board of Governors University of New Haven:

We have audited the accompanying statements of financial position of University of New Haven (the University) as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the related statements of activities and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the University's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the University's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of University of New Haven as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated October 28, 2010 on our consideration of the University's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provision of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (Schedule I) for the year ended June 30, 2010 is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States*, *Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations*, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.



October 28, 2010

Statement of Financial Position

June 30, 2010

(with comparative information as of June 30, 2009)

Assets		2010	2009
Cash and cash equivalents Accounts and loans receivable, net (note 3) Pledges receivable, net (notes 2 and 4) Other assets Investments (note 5) Investments held in trust by others (notes 5 and 9) Deposits with bond trustee and restricted cash (note 5) Investment in plant, net (notes 7, 8, and 10)	\$	23,899,226 7,820,847 3,281,941 2,639,047 17,911,554 1,847,575 8,489,196 120,316,306	16,870,368 9,230,811 4,240,059 1,907,208 12,413,150 1,787,400 14,538,982 109,563,946
Total assets	\$	186,205,692	170,551,924
Liabilities			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses Deposits and deferred income Bonds and notes payable (note 10) Interest rate swap liability (notes 5 and 11) Postretirement healthcare obligation (note 15) Government grants refundable	\$	12,421,394 7,741,716 85,550,300 12,999,742 2,948,937 3,453,060	12,030,875 2,631,653 86,734,297 9,081,126 2,652,072 3,453,060
Total liabilities		125,115,149	116,583,083
Net Assets			
Unrestricted Temporarily restricted (notes 9 and 13) Permanently restricted (note 13)	_	36,916,908 14,760,886 9,412,749	30,459,952 15,281,527 8,227,362
Total net assets		61,090,543	53,968,841
Commitments and contingencies (notes 8, 10, 16, and 17)			
Total liabilities and net assets	\$	186,205,692	170,551,924

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Statement of Activities

Year ended June 30, 2010

(with comparative summarized information for the year ended June 30, 2009)

2010 Permanently Temporarily 2009 Unrestricted restricted restricted **Total Total** Operating activities: Revenues, gains, and other support: Tuition and fees 133,402,753 133,402,753 115.094.341 22,377,656 Residence and dining 28,311,710 28,311,710 Less: Scholarships and grants (48,208,989)(48,208,989)(37,896,015) Net student fees 113,505,474 113,505,474 99,575,982 Federal, state, and private grants and gifts 4,183,376 2,311,103 1,185,387 7,679,866 8,446,605 Endowment spending used in operations (note 5) 403,439 403,439 377,010 Interest income and other sources 1,977,334 301,309 2,278,643 2,221,108 Other auxiliary services 619,751 773,611 11,971 631,722 Net assets released from restrictions (note 14) 3,076,085 (3,076,085)Total revenue from operations 123,765,459 (451,702)1,185,387 124,499,144 111,394,316 Expenses: Instructional 44,961,827 44,961,827 41,689,448 Academic support 6,371,311 6,371,311 5,963,836 Student services 23,474,052 23,474,052 21,347,243 Institutional support 18,097,688 18,097,688 17,150,428 Residence and dining 21,181,294 21,181,294 15,855,528 102,006,483 Total expenses 114,086,172 114,086,172 1,185,387 9,387,833 Change in net assets from operations 9,679,287 (451,702)10,412,972 Nonoperating activities: Net return on long-term investments, net of amounts used in operations (note 5) 696,285 (129,114)567,171 (2,772,996)Unrealized gains on investments held in trust by others (notes 5 and 9) 60,175 60,175 (2,744,724)Change in market value of interest (3,918,616) rate swap (note 11) (3,918,616)(5,793,004)Change in net assets 6,456,956 (520,641)1,185,387 7,121,702 (1,922,891)Net assets, beginning of year 30,459,952 15,281,527 8,227,362 53,968,841 55,891,732 36,916,908 9,412,749 Net assets, end of year 14,760,886 61,090,543 53,968,841

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Statement of Cash Flows

Year ended June 30, 2010

(with comparative information for the year ended June 30, 2009)

		2010	2009
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Change in net assets	\$	7,121,702	(1,922,891)
Adjustments to reconcile change in net assets to net cash			
provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization		7,547,019	6,260,903
Disposals of plant & equipment		(4,472)	25,324
Change in market value of interest rate swap		3,918,616	5,793,004
Net unrealized and realized (gains) losses on investments		(707,572)	2,656,853
(Gains) losses on investments held in trust by others		(49,879)	2,744,724
Contributions restricted for long-term investment		(1,185,387)	(288,651)
Change in accounts and pledges receivable Change in other assets		2,252,369 (1,053,362)	68,758 (375,174)
Change in accounts payable and accrued expenses		703,389	(122,106)
Change in deposits and deferred income		5,110,063	448,712
•	-	23,652,486	15,289,456
Net cash provided by operating activities		23,032,480	13,289,430
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchases of plant and equipment		(18,236,508)	(38,049,712)
Proceeds from maturity and sale of investments		7,434,104	5,061,256
Purchases of investments		(11,913,348)	(5,324,318)
Proceeds from contributions restricted for long-term investment		1,185,387	288,651
Change in restricted cash and deposits with bond trustee		6,049,786	(12,461,636)
Decrease (increase) in loans receivable		115,713	(52,738)
Net cash used in investing activities		(15,364,866)	(50,538,497)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of bonds and notes payable			46,000,000
Principal payments on bonds and notes payable		(1,200,000)	(955,000)
Bond issuance costs		(58,762)	(414,162)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities		(1,258,762)	44,630,838
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		7,028,858	9,381,797
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		16,870,368	7,488,571
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	23,899,226	16,870,368
Supplemental data:			
Interest paid	\$	3,100,560	2,822,476
Noncash investing activity - change in accounts payable attributable	Ψ	3,100,300	2,022,770
to fixed assets		2 005 592	2 500 276
to fixed assets		3,005,583	2,508,376

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2010
(\$ in Thousands)

(1) Organization

The University of New Haven (the University) is a private, tax-exempt, nonprofit educational institution. The University was founded in 1920 and is located on 78 acres in suburban West Haven, Connecticut.

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The significant accounting policies followed by the University are described below to enhance the usefulness of the financial statements to the reader:

(a) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared using accrual accounting, and include the accounts of the University and the Henry C. Lee Institute.

The accompanying financial statements present balances and transactions according to the existence or absence of donor-imposed restrictions. Accordingly, net assets and changes therein are classified as follows:

Permanently restricted net assets – Net assets subject to donor-imposed restrictions that they be maintained permanently by the University. Generally, the donors of these assets permit the University to use, for general or specific purposes, all or part of the income and capital gains, if any, on related investments.

Temporarily restricted net assets – Net assets subject to donor-imposed restrictions that will be met by actions of the University and/or the passage of time.

Unrestricted net assets – Net assets not subject to donor-imposed restrictions.

Revenues are reported as increases in unrestricted net assets unless use of the related assets is limited by donor-imposed restrictions. Expenses are reported as decreases in unrestricted net assets. Gains and losses on investments and other assets or liabilities, if any, are reported as increases or decreases in unrestricted net assets unless their use is restricted by explicit donor stipulation or by law. Expirations of temporary restrictions on net assets (i.e., the donor-restricted purpose has been fulfilled and/or the stipulated time period has elapsed) are reported as net assets released from restrictions. Donor-restricted contributions, and any income earned on those contributions, whose restrictions are met in the same reporting period have been reported as unrestricted support in the statement of activities. Gifts of long-lived assets are considered unrestricted support.

The financial statements include certain prior year summarized comparative information in total but not by asset class. Such information does not include sufficient detail to constitute a presentation in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Accordingly, such information should be read in conjunction with the University's financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2009 from which the summarized information was derived.

IV-5 (Continued)

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2010
(\$ in Thousands)

(b) Liquidity Information

In order to provide information about liquidity, assets have been sequenced in the statement of financial position according to their nearness to conversion to cash, and liabilities have been sequenced according to the nearness of their maturity and resulting use of cash.

(c) Contribution Revenue

The University reports contributions (including unconditional promises to give) as restricted support if they are received with donor stipulations that limit the use of the donated assets. When a donor restriction expires, that is, when a stipulated time restriction ends or purpose restriction is accomplished, temporarily restricted net assets are reclassified to unrestricted net assets and reported in the statement of activities as net assets released from restrictions. Conditional promises to give are not recognized until they become unconditional, that is, when the conditions on which they depend are substantially met. Contributions of assets other than cash are recorded at their estimated fair value. Contributions expected to be received after one year are discounted at an appropriate discount rate. The discount rate represents the risk-free rate in existence at the date of the gift. An allowance for uncollectible contributions is estimated based upon such factors as prior collection history, type of contribution, and nature of fund-raising activity.

(d) Cash Equivalents

The University considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an original maturity date of three months or less to be cash equivalents, except for cash held with investment managers for long-term investment.

(e) Investment in Plant

All plant assets are stated at cost except gifts in kind, which are recorded at their estimated fair value on the date of the gift. Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Construction in progress is not depreciated until placed in service. When plant assets are retired or disposed of, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in the statement of activities.

(f) Income Taxes

The University was granted an exempt status under the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 501(a), as an organization described in Section 501(c)(3). Under IRC Section 501(a), the University is generally exempt from income taxes. The University believes it has taken no significant uncertain tax positions.

(g) Functional Allocation of Expenses

The costs of providing the various programs and other activities have been summarized on a functional basis in the statement of activities. These costs include direct and indirect costs that have been allocated, on a consistent basis, among the program and supporting services benefited.

IV-6 (Continued)

2000

UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAVEN

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2010
(\$ in Thousands)

(h) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(i) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of investments, which is based upon quoted market prices, is disclosed in note 5. For all other financial instruments, the carrying amount as disclosed in the accompanying financial statements approximates fair value.

(3) Accounts and Loans Receivable

Accounts receivable consist of the following at June 30:

	_	2010	2009
Students	\$	8,736,924	7,529,567
Grants		1,342,680	2,301,729
Others		820,582	1,031,235
Allowance for doubtful accounts	_	(6,387,309)	(5,055,402)
Net accounts receivable	\$_	4,512,877	5,807,129
Loans receivable consist of the following at June 30:	_	2010	2009
Perkins loans	\$	3,682,970	3,798,682
Allowance for doubtful accounts	_	(375,000)	(375,000)
Net loans receivable	\$	3,307,970	3,423,682

2010

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2010
(\$ in Thousands)

(4) Pledges Receivable

Pledges receivable consist of the following unconditional promises to give as of June 30:

	_	2010	2009
Amounts due in:			
Less than one year	\$	1,857,330	2,160,838
One to five years		1,664,044	2,393,154
Greater than five years			_
Charitable remainder trust (note 9)		586,369	615,244
Gross pledges receivable		4,107,743	5,169,236
Less:			
Allowance for uncollectible pledges		(690,416)	(758,715)
Discount to present value		(135,386)	(170,462)
Net pledges receivable	\$	3,281,941	4,240,059

Pledges recorded at June 30, 2010 and 2009 are discounted at rates ranging from 1.58% to 6.25%.

Fund-raising expenses for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 totaling \$1,346,733 and \$1,338,353, respectively, have been classified as institutional support expenses in the statement of activities.

(5) Fair Value

At June 30, 2010 and 2009, the carrying values of the University's cash and cash equivalents, receivables, other assets, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and deposits and deferred revenues approximated their fair values. An approximate estimate of the fair values of student loan receivables administered by the University under federal government loan programs is not practical because the receivables can only be assigned to the U.S. government or its designees.

The investment objective of the University is to invest its assets in a prudent manner to achieve a long-term rate of return sufficient to fund a portion of its spending and to increase investment value after inflation. The University's investment strategy incorporates a diversified asset allocation approach that maintains, within defined limits, exposure to global equity and fixed income.

Fair value represents the price that would be received upon the sale of an asset or paid upon the transfer of a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. Financial instruments that are measured and reported at fair value are classified and disclosed in one of the following categories:

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the University has the ability to access at measurement date.

Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable for the assets or liabilities.

IV-8 (Continued)

Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2010
(\$ in Thousands)

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the assets or liabilities. The level in the fair value hierarchy within which a fair value measurement in its entirety falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Substantially all of the investments classified as Level 2 have been valued using Net Asset Value (NAV) as the practical expedient. Because of the use of NAV as a practical expedient to estimate fair value, the level in the fair value hierarchy in which each fund's fair value measurement is classified is based primarily on the University's ability to redeem its interest in the fund at or near the date of the statement of financial position.

	-	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	2010 Total	Redemption or Liquidation	Days' Notice
Assets:							
Investments:		503 050			7 0 2 0 50	5	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	593,068	<u> </u>	_	593,068	Daily	1
Fixed income		0.641.050	6,274,522	_	6,274,522	Daily	1
Equities – US		8,641,850	2,197	_	8,644,047	Daily	1
Equities – Foreign	-	2,399,917			2,399,917	Daily	1
Total investments	_	11,634,835	6,276,719		17,911,554		
Other assets: Funds held by bond trustee Investments held in trust		8,489,196	_	_	8,489,196	Daily Not	1 Not
by others				1,847,575	1,847,575	Applicable	Applicable
Total other assets	_	8,489,196		1,847,575	10,336,771	11	
Total assets	\$	20,124,031	6,276,719	1,847,575	28,248,325		
Liabilities: Interest rate swap							
agreements	\$		(12,999,742)		(12,999,742)		
Total liabilities	\$		(12,999,742)		(12,999,742)		

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2010
(\$ in Thousands)

	-	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	2009 Total	Redemption or Liquidation	Days' Notice
Assets:							
Investments: Cash and cash equivalents	\$	507,132	_	_	507,132	Daily	1
Fixed income		_	4,796,005	_	4,796,005	Daily	1
Equities – US		7,107,299	2,714	_	7,110,013	Daily	1
Equities – Foreign	-					Daily	1
Total investments		7,614,431	4,798,719		12,413,150		
Other assets: Funds held by bond trustee Investments held in trust		14,538,982	_	_	14,538,982	Daily Not	1 Not
by others		_	_	1,787,400	1,787,400	Applicable	Applicable
Total other assets		14,538,982		1,787,400	16,326,382		
Total assets	\$	22,153,413	4,798,719	1,787,400	28,739,532		
Liabilities: Interest rate swap	-						
agreements	\$		(9,081,126)		(9,081,126)		
Total liabilities	\$		(9,081,126)		(9,081,126)		

The following table presents the University's activity for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 for investments measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) as defined in the fair value hierarchy:

	_	Fixed income and equities		_	Fixed income and equities
Fair value at June 30, 2009	\$	1,787,400	Fair value at June 30, 2008	\$	4,531,954
Investment return		60,175	Investment return		_
Unrealized losses	_		Unrealized losses	·-	(2,744,554)
Fair value at June 30, 2010	\$	1,847,575	Fair value at June 30, 2009	\$	1,787,400

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2010
(\$ in Thousands)

The University's total return on its invested assets consists of the following components reported on the statement of activities:

	 2010	2009
Investment income, net of investment expenses Net realized and unrealized (losses) gains	\$ 263,038 707,572	260,867 (2,656,853)
Total return on investments	970,610	(2,395,986)
Endowment spending used in operations	 (403,439)	(377,010)
Net return on long-term investments, net of amounts used in operations	\$ 567,171	(2,772,996)

(6) Endowment Funds

The University's endowment consists of 134 individual funds established for a variety of purposes, including both donor-restricted endowment funds and funds designated by the University to function as endowments (quasi endowment). At June 30, 2010, the fair values of 34 endowment accounts were less than their original fair value ("underwater") by a total of \$193,170.

The University manages its long-term investments to ensure that the future growth of the endowments is sufficient to offset normal inflation plus reasonable spending, thereby preserving the constant dollar value and purchasing power of the endowment for future generations.

The University's annual spending distribution is determined by applying a spending formula outlined in the Endowment Spending Policy. The actual endowment fund distribution shall be at an annual rate that is the lesser of the following:

- 1. four and one-half (4.5%) based upon the twelve-quarter moving average market value of the fund's value at the beginning of each quarter with a one-quarter lag or
- 2. the annual yield (dividends and interest) as measured by the preceding fiscal year.

The University's endowment includes both donor-restricted endowment funds and funds designated by the board of trustees to function as endowment. The University classifies as permanently restricted net assets (a) the original value of gifts donated to the permanent endowment, (b) the original value of subsequent gifts to the permanent endowment, and (c) accumulations to the permanent endowment made in accordance with the direction of the applicable donor gift instrument at the time the accumulation is added to the fund.

The remaining portion of the donor-restricted endowment funds that are not classified as permanently restricted net assets is classified as temporarily restricted net assets until those amounts are appropriated for expenditure by the University in a manner consistent with the standard prudence prescribed by Uniform Prudent Management Institutional Funds Act (UPMIFA). In accordance with UPMIFA, the University

IV-11 (Continued)

Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2010
(\$ in Thousands)

considers the following factors in making a determination to appropriate or accumulate donor-restricted endowment funds:

- 1. the duration and preservation of the fund
- 2. the purposes of the University and the donor-restricted endowment fund
- 3. general economic conditions
- 4. the possible effect of inflation and deflation
- 5. the expected total return from income and the appreciation of investments
- 6. other resources of the University
- 7. the investment policies of the University

Endowment net assets consisted of the following at June 30, 2010 and 2009:

		2010				
	<u>-</u>	Unrestricted	Temporarily restricted	Permanently restricted	Total	
Donor-restricted Quasi (Board-designated)	\$	(193,170) 5,545,132	3,381,033	8,822,104	12,009,967 5,545,132	
Total	\$	5,351,962	3,381,033	8,822,104	17,555,099	
		2009				
	•	Unrestricted	Temporarily restricted	Permanently restricted	Total	
Donor-restricted Quasi (Board-designated)	\$	(363,755) 2,172,950	3,003,630	7,473,458	10,113,333 2,172,950	
Total	\$	1,809,195	3,003,630	7,473,458	12,286,283	

IV-12 (Continued)

Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2010
(\$ in Thousands)

Changes in endowment funds for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

	2010						
	_1	U nrestricted	Temporarily restricted	Permanently restricted	Total		
Balance, June 30, 2009	\$	1,809,195	3,003,630	7,473,458	12,286,283		
Investment return		119,530	134,422		253,952		
Unrealized gains		459,982	242,981		702,963		
Contributions	_	2,963,255		1,348,646	4,311,901		
Balance, June 30, 2010	\$	5,351,962	3,381,033	8,822,104	17,555,099		

		2009				
	- -	Unrestricted	Temporarily restricted	Permanently restricted	Total	
Balance, June 30, 2008	\$	3,328,781	4,453,668	6,905,313	14,687,762	
Investment return		63,631	195,150		258,781	
Unrealized losses		(651,317)	(2,008,943)		(2,660,260)	
Transfers		(931,900)	363,755	568,145		
Balance, June 30, 2009	\$	1,809,195	3,003,630	7,473,458	12,286,283	

(7) Investment in Plant

Plant assets consist of the following at June 30:

	_	2010	2009	Estimated useful lives
Land	\$	5,412,102	5,412,102	
Land improvements		10,038,611	9,244,906	50 years
Buildings and building improvements		130,682,863	88,076,644	30 years
Furniture and equipment		44,764,385	43,226,145	3-10 years
Construction in progress	_	8,943,923	35,645,578	_
		199,841,884	181,605,375	
Less accumulated depreciation	_	(79,525,578)	(72,041,429)	
	\$ _	120,316,306	109,563,946	

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2010
(\$ in Thousands)

The University capitalized \$18,424 and \$658,816 of interest on construction projects during the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Depreciation expense for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 amounted to \$7,494,380 and \$6,239,539, respectively.

(8) Leases

The University has entered into operating lease agreements to rent property for office space and for off-campus residences. These lease agreements have varying remaining terms until fiscal year 2017.

The University signed two leases with Acorn Property Management (Acorn) for the leasing of grounds and a residence hall that Acorn constructed. The principal owner of Acorn was formerly a trustee of the University. The initial leasing term ends August 2012 and is eight years. During the lease term, the average annual commitment is \$859,375. The lease has a renewal option in which the University can extend the lease for an additional seven years and five additional renewal options of five years each.

The approximate future minimum rental commitments under operating lease agreements are as follows:

Year ending June 30:	
2011	\$ 3,537,914
2012	3,561,972
2013	2,276,259
2014	2,080,116
2015	2,149,454
Thereafter	547,923
Total required minimum lease payments	\$ 14,153,638

Rent expense for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$3,708,663 and \$3,225,208, respectively.

(9) Investments Held in Trust by Others

The University is the sole beneficiary of a charitable trust (\$1,847,575 and \$1,787,400 at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively) of which the University is not the trustee. The trust is time-restricted. The University's beneficial interest in the trust is recognized as a temporarily restricted net asset. Distributions are reflected as reductions in the beneficial interest of the trust and as reclassifications from temporarily restricted to unrestricted net assets.

The University is the sole beneficiary of a charitable remainder trust payable to the University in fiscal 2013. The University is also a beneficiary of a share of a charitable lead annuity trust payable to the University over a 10-year term ending in April 2014. The assets of the trusts are recorded as temporarily and permanently restricted pledges receivable, respectively, at the present value of estimated future payments, as disclosed in note 4.

The University is named as a beneficiary of a charitable remainder trust. Under the trust agreement, distributions for the benefit of the primary beneficiaries may include the expenditure of principal causing

Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2010
(\$ in Thousands)

the remainder amount the University expects to receive to be undeterminable. As a result, the trust has not been recorded in the University's financial statements.

(10) **Debt**

(j) Bonds and Notes Payable

The following is a summary of bonds and notes payable at June 30:

		2010	2009
Connecticut Health and Education Facilities Authority (CHEFA):			
Series E bonds, issued in August 2005 with variable interest,			
based on an industry adjusted Securities Industry and			
Financial Markets Association Municipal Swap Index, 0.270%			
at June 30, 2010, and an interest rate swap based on one-month			
LIBOR vs. fixed rate of 3.425%; interest expense was \$588,457			
for the fiscal year. Principal payments are made in monthly			
installments ranging from \$27,083 to \$155,000, through 2035.	\$	25,105,000	25,815,000
Series G bonds, issued in August 2006 with variable interest,			
based on an industry adjusted Securities Industry and			
Financial Markets Association Municipal Swap Index, 0.270%			
at June 30, 2010, and an interest rate swap based on three-month			
LIBOR vs. fixed rate of 3.96%; interest expense was \$868,701			
for the fiscal year. Principal payments are made in monthly			
installments ranging from \$20,000 to \$61,666, through 2036.		14,880,000	15,370,000
Series H bonds, issued in July 2008 with variable interest,			
\$46,000,000 based on an industry adjusted Securities Industry			
and Financial Markets Association Municipal Swap Index,			
0.270 % at June 30, 2010, and two interest rate swap agreements			
based on three-month LIBOR vs. fixed rate of 3.605% and 3.638%			
on \$42,000,000 and \$4,000,000 respectively; interest expense			
was \$1,552,044 for the fiscal year. Principal payments are made			
in monthly intallments ranging from \$73,333 to \$228,333		45,000,000	45,000,000
through 2038.	_	46,000,000	46,000,000
Bonds payable		85,985,000	87,185,000
Unamortized bond discount	_	(434,700)	(450,703)
Bonds payable, net	\$	85,550,300	86,734,297

In July 2008, the University issued \$46,000,000 of CHEFA Series H tax-exempt bonds. The proceeds were used to finance (a) the construction and equipping of a new student dormitory; (b) paying capitalized interest with respect to the bonds; and (c) paying costs of issuance fees with

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2010
(\$ in Thousands)

respect to the bonds. The University incurred \$644,662 in costs associated with the new bonds, which have been capitalized and will be amortized over the life of the bonds.

In August 2006, the University issued \$15,890,000 of CHEFA Series G tax-exempt bonds. The proceeds were used to finance (a) the construction and equipping of a new student recreation center; (b) the defeasance of the prior issue Series F bonds; and (c) paying capitalized interest with respect to the bonds; and (d) paying costs of issuance and credit enhancement fees with respect to the bonds. The University incurred \$424,474 in costs associated with the new bonds, which have been capitalized and will be amortized over the life of the bonds.

In August 2005, the University issued \$27,460,000 of CHEFA Series E tax-exempt bonds. The proceeds were used to finance (a) deferred maintenance and various improvements to the University's campus, including classroom, lab, faculty office, and residence hall renovations and (b) the defeasance of the prior issue Series D bonds. The University incurred \$638,848 in costs associated with the new bonds, which have been capitalized and will be amortized over the life of the bonds.

Under the bond agreement with CHEFA, the University has agreed to certain financial covenants. The University has met its financial covenants as of June 30, 2010.

Substantially all property and equipment is pledged as collateral for the above notes. Additionally, the University has granted to CHEFA and the aforementioned bank a security interest in certain gross receipts, as defined, from annual student tuition and other dormitory fees.

The following is a schedule of debt maturities payable over the next five years and thereafter by the University:

Year ending June 30:		
2011	\$	1,245,000
2012		2,175,000
2013		2,260,000
2014		2,350,000
2015		2,450,000
Thereafter	_	75,505,000
Total	\$	85,985,000

(k) Short-Term Line of Credit

The University had a revolving line-of-credit agreement with Wachovia Bank for \$4,500,000, which expired on December 31, 2009. Outstanding borrowings against the line of credit were \$0 at June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2010
(\$ in Thousands)

(11) Interest Rate Swap

The University entered into interest rate swap agreements with a financial institution counterparty. The purpose of these agreements is to swap the variable rate on underlying debt for fixed rates. The University entered into the agreements to manage the risk associated with the cash flows attributable to interest payments on the debt and does not use such instruments for speculative purposes. The instruments fair value and changes therein must be measured in the University's net assets. The value of the swap instruments represents the estimated benefit or cost to the University to cancel the agreement at the reporting date, and is based on an option-pricing model that considers risks and market factors.

Trade date		Notional amount	Maturity	Series	Rate	Fair value 2010	a	t June 30 2009
August 17, 2005 August 28, 2006 July 1, 2008	\$ \$ \$	14,880,000	July 1, 2035 July 1, 2036 July 1, 2038	2005 2006 2008	3.425% \$ 3.960% 3.605%	(3,015,849) (2,592,254) (6,732,327)	\$	(1,939,948) (2,010,160) (4,668,864)
July 1, 2008	\$	4,000,000	July 1, 2038	2008	3.638%	(659,312)	ф.	(462,154)
				Total	\$	(12,999,742)	\$	(9,081,126)

The continued effectiveness of these swaps will be contingent upon the ability of the counterparty to meet its contractual obligations under these agreements.

(12) Pension Plan

The University maintains a defined contribution retirement program with Fidelity Brokerage Services LLC. The University generally contributes 7% of base salaries, as defined, for both exempt and non-exempt participating employees. Eligible employees may contribute a percentage of their annual compensation, pretax, subject to various restrictions within the IRC. Pension expense for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$3,013,625 and \$2,748,196, respectively.

(13) Temporarily and Permanently Restricted Net Assets

Restricted net assets as of June 30 consist of the following:

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

(\$ in Thousands)

	_	2010	2009
Temporarily restricted net assets:			
Time restricted	\$	2,502,877	3,358,989
Investments held in trust by others		1,787,401	1,787,400
Use restricted:			
Capital projects		9,760,256	9,960,358
Other		710,352	174,780
Total	\$	14,760,886	15,281,527
Permanently restricted net assets:			
Scholarships	\$	6,668,193	5,480,762
General University support	_	2,744,556	2,746,600
Total	\$	9,412,749	8,227,362
	_		

(14) Net Assets Released from Restrictions

Temporarily restricted assets were released from donor restriction by incurring expenses satisfying the restricted purpose specified by the donor. For the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, temporarily restricted net assets were released as follows:

	2010	2009
Capital projects	\$ 1,772,526	1,945,083
Academic support	515,155	153,812
Student services/athletics	308,480	814,616
Institutional support	38,728	94,520
Instruction	56,644	140,077
Student aid	 384,552	614,273
Total	\$ 3,076,085	3,762,381

(15) Postretirement Medical Benefits Plan

The University provides certain healthcare benefits, including medical care and prescription drug components, for certain of its retired employees. Information with respect to the plan is as follows:

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2010

(\$ in Thousands)

		June 30		
		2010	2009	
Change in benefit obligation:				
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$	2,652,072	2,292,810	
Interest cost		159,907	148,941	
Assumption changes		317,670	224,857	
Experience (gains) losses		(13,587)	156,602	
Benefits paid	_	(167,125)	(171,138)	
Benefit obligation at end of year	\$ _	2,948,937	2,652,072	
Change in plan assets:				
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year		_	_	
Actual return on plan assets			_	
Employer contribution		167,125	171,138	
Plan participants' contributions		_	_	
Benefits paid	_	(167,125)	(171,138)	
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	\$ _			
Funded status	\$	(2,948,937)	(2,652,072)	
	_			

	J	une 30
	2010	2009
Discount rate used to value obligations Weighted average healthcare cost trend: Initial trend rate:	5.50%	6.25%
Pre-65 Post-65	6.20% 6.20%	9.00% 9.00%
Ultimate trend rate: Pre-65 Post-65 Year ultimate trend rate attained	4.70% 4.70% 2088	5.00% 5.00% 2013
		une 30
	2010	2009
Components of net periodic postretirement benefit cost: Service cost Interest cost Amortization of actuarial loss Amortization of unrecognized prior service cost	\$ — 159,907 3,630 —	148,941 — —
Net periodic postretirement benefit cost	\$ 163,537	148,941

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2010
(\$ in Thousands)

The assumed healthcare cost trend rate has a significant effect on the amounts reported. A one-percentage-point change in the assumed healthcare cost trend rate would have the following effects:

	 2010	2009
Impact of 1% increase in healthcare cost trend: On interest cost plus service cost during past year On accumulated postretirement benefit obligation	\$ 17,859 359,932	16,067 292,823
Impact of 1% decrease in healthcare cost trend: On interest cost plus service cost during past year On accumulated postretirement benefit obligation	(15,203) (321,897)	(13,707) (269,230)

Estimated future benefit payments, net of employee contributions, are as follows:

	_	Estimated benefit payment
Fiscal year:		
2011	\$	188,500
2012		194,600
2013		188,600
2014		181,000
2015		179,900
2016-2020		1,005,400

The expected employer contribution for fiscal year 2011 is \$188,500.

(16) Commitments and Contingencies

The University participates in a number of federal programs that are subject to financial and compliance audits. The amount of expenditures that may be disallowed by the granting agencies cannot be determined at this time, although the University does not expect these amounts, if any, to be material to the financial statements.

The University is subject to certain legal proceedings and claims that arose in the ordinary course of its business. In the opinion of management, the amount of the ultimate liability with respect to those actions will not materially affect the University's financial position.

(17) Subsequent Events

The University considers events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date, but before the financial statements are issued to provide additional evidence relative to certain estimates or to identify matters that require additional disclosure. These financial statements were issued on October 28, 2010 and subsequent events have been evaluated through that date.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year ended June 30, 2010

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number/ Agency or Pass-through Number	Federal Expenditures
Student Financial Aid Cluster		
U.S. Department of Education Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant Program Federal Family Education Loan Program (note 4) Federal Work Study Program Federal Perkins Loan Program (note 3) Federal Pell Grant Program Federal SMART Program Federal Academic Competitiveness Grant	84.007 84.032 84.033 84.038 84.063 84.376 84.375	\$ 393,292 42,804,638 316,712 250,635 4,116,971 64,000 284,282
Total Student Financial Aid Cluster		48,230,530
Research and Development Cluster United States Department of Homeland Security Passed through the University of Maryland: National Consortium for the Study of Terrorism and Response to Terrorism (START) Passed through the University of Maryland: Threat Assessment of Terrorist & Extremist	97.061 Subcontract #Z930201 97.108 Subcontract	170,379
Organization in Indonesia, the Philippines & Thailand	#Z942001	68,026
Total United States Department of Homeland Security Federal Pass Through		238,405
National Science Foundation III-COR: Collaborative Research: Knowledge Compilation With Fast Response	47.070	69,884
United States Department of Education Passed through the State of Connecticut Department of Higher Education: UNH-Greater New Haven Science & Nutrition Collaborative	84.367B	6,223
United States Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs: Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) Cold Case Center Passed Through Sam Houston State University: US/Mexico Border Open Source Knowledgebase Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) Command Center	16.71 16.751 16.710	101,719 28,691 46,314
Total U.S. Department of Justice		176,724
United States Naval Research Laboratory Multi-Cultural, Multi-Lingual Data Aggregation with Criminal, Extremist, & Terrorist Attributes	12.300	642,819
Department of Commerce/ National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Passed Through the University of Connecticut: Development of a Long Island Sound Benthic Index for Assessing Environmental Conditions	11.417	37,487
The Office of Drug Control Policy (ONDCP) Passed through the California Border Alliance Group (CBAC): HIDTA Grant: National Marijuana Initiative	18PSCP5052	4,175
Army Research Lab Passed through Sam Houston State University: Border Security Open Source Research Project	12.341	134,686
Total Research and Development Cluster		1,310,403
		\$ 49,540,933

See accompanying notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year ended June 30, 2010

(1) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the Schedule) summarizes the expenditures of University of New Haven (the University) under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2010. Due to the fact the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the University, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net assets, and revenues and expenses of the University.

For purposes of the Schedule, federal awards include all grants, contracts, and similar agreements entered into directly between the University, and agencies and departments of the federal government and all sub-awards to the University by nonfederal organizations pursuant to federal grants, contracts, and similar agreements.

(2) Basis of Accounting

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented using the accrual basis of accounting.

(3) Federal Perkins Loan Program

During the year ended June 30, 2010, \$238,700 in loans were advanced under the Federal Perkins Loan Program and the administrative cost allowance claimed was \$11,935. As of June 30, 2010, the loan balance receivable under the Perkins Loan Program was \$3,800,767.

(4) Federal Family Education Loan Program

The amount of loans advanced during the year to students and parents under the Federal Family Education Loan Program was \$42,804,638.

With respect to the FFELP program, the University is responsible only for the performance of certain administrative duties and, accordingly, these loans are not included in the University's financial statements. It is not practical to determine the balances of loans outstanding to students of the University under this program at June 30, 2010.